Social Simulation for Self-* Systems: An idea whose time has come?

> David Hales University of Bologna, Italy www.davidhales.com

In collaboration with: Stefano Arteconi, Andrea Marcozi, Simon Patarin, Ozalp Babaoglu

Work supported by the DELIS project (http://delis.upb.de/)

"Various social simulators have modelled and interpreted the world but the point is to change it"

Wander Jager, ESSA 2007 Presidential Introduction

Distributed computer systems are making new kinds of social systems. By engineering them in certain ways we change social realities rather than merely trying to reflect them.

Social science and distributed systems engineering are merging.

Overview

- What are self-* systems?
- Peer-to-Peer (P2P) systems
- The BitTorrent file-sharing system
- Recent group selection models
- Group selection in P2P
- Note on methods
- Worrying trends

What is Self-*

- Information systems that
 - Self-organise
 - Self-manage
 - Self-repair
 - Self-adapt
- Without explicit administrative or user intervention

What is Self-*

- New trend in information systems research because increasingly:
 - Open distributed systems
 - Without central control
 - Massive (millions of components)
 - Dynamic and noisy (at run time)
 - Standard design approaches fail

Technology areas in Self-*

- Grids, MAS
- Ad hoc networks (mob. phones, PDA's)
- Autonomic systems (top-down) selfadaptive
- Peer-to-Peer (P2P) systems

Recent new conference

- SASO: Self-Adaptive and Self-Organising Systems
- IEEE sponsored
- Merger of ESOA, SelfMan, Self-* and IWSAS workshops
- First one July 2007 @ MIT
- http://projects.csail.mit.edu/saso2007/

Peer-to-Peer Systems

What are P2P systems?

- Machines (nodes) on the internet
- Dynamically connecting to a few others
- Cooperating to achieve some task
- So-called "overlay networks"
- Majority of internet bandwidth use is P2P today
- Often associated with illegal copying

Popular applications of P2P

- BitTorrent
 - Open protocol for sharing large files
 - Peers cooperate to speedup downloads
- Skype
 - Closed protocol for voice over IP
 - Peers cooperate to route audio streams
- Joost (beta)
 - Internet based TV

What has this got to do with social simulation?

- P2P need algorithms that are:
 - Decentralised (no central control)
 - Scalable (to millions)
 - Robust (to failure, noise, and malicious)
 - Simple (lightweight code)
 - Promote cooperation (avoid free-riding)
- Isn't this what a lot of algorithms from social simulation do?

Social Simulation Contributions to P2P

- Social simulation work can contribute in two distinct ways:
 - Supply algorithms for implementations
 - Supply "user models" which capture how users interact with systems
- I will mainly focus on the first of these today

Overview of BitTorrent

- Most popular file-sharing P2P protocol
- Peers cooperatively pool resources
- Open protocol so anyone can write their own "peer client" software
- Based on the tit-for-tat cooperation strategy popularised by Robert Axelrod
- Creator: Bram Cohen



Central Server Approach

BitTorrent Approach

- When a node wishes to share a file it:
 - splits it into many small chunks
 - creates a new "swarm" containing itself
 - publishes a pointer (.torrent file) to the swarm
- To download a file a node:
 - uses the .torrent file to join the associated swarm
 - connects to several other nodes in the swarm
 - downloads the blocks it needs
 - uploads requested blocks to others

- While downloading nodes
 - Monitor performance of each link
 - Drop links when uploading is not being reciprocated
 - Keep links which are reciprocating
 - Occasionally try new random links



- This is a kind of tit-for-tat strategy
- Cooperation = upload to others
- Defection = only download from others
- By breaking links to selfish nodes (so called leechers) free-riding not viable
- If you don't upload you don't download

Bad guys strike back!



BitThief http://dcg.ethz

http://dcg.ethz.ch/projects/bitthief/

A Free Riding BitTorrent Client



Eldgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

Bad guys strike back!

- BitTorrent can still be cheated
- Selfish clients have been released by researchers to see if they spread
- BitTorrent is becoming a global social cooperation experiment
- The jury is still out on why selfish clients do not seem to have taken over
- Game theorists seem to be confused





New Group Selection Models

Group Selection Models

- Recent models of "group selection"
- Based on individual selection
- Producing dynamic social structures
- Limit free-riding
- Increasingly group-level performance
- Don't require reciprocity
- Could be very useful in P2P

Evolutionary Group Selection Models

- *Group boundary* a mechanism which restricts interactions between agents such that the population is partitioned into groups
- *Group formation* a process which forms groups dynamically in the population
- *Migration* a process by which agents may move between different groups
- Conditions cost / benefit ratio of individual interactions and other conditions which are sufficient for producing group-level selection



Schematic of the evolution of groups in the tag model. Three generations (a-c) are shown. White individuals are pro-social (altruistic), black are selfish. Individuals sharing the same tag are shown clustered and bounded by large circles. Arrows indicate group linage. When **b** is the benefit a pro-social agent can confer on another and **c** is the cost to that agent then the condition for group selection of pro-social groups is: **b** > **c** and mt >> ms

Riolo, Axelrod, Cohen, Holland, Hales, Edmonds...



Schematic of the evolution of groups in the network-rewire model. Three generations (ac) are shown. Altruism selected when: **b** > **c** and **mt** >> **ms**. When **t** = **1**, get disconnected components, when **1** > **t** > **0.5**, get small-world networks

Hales, D. & Arteconi, S. (2006) Article: SLACER: A Self-Organizing Protocol for Coordination in P2P Networks. IEEE Intelligent Systems, 21(2):29-35

Santos F. C., Pacheco J. M., Lenaerts T. (2006) Cooperation prevails when individuals adjust their social ties. PLoS Comput Biol 2(10)



Schematic of the evolution of in the group-splitting model. Three generations (a-c) are shown. Altruism is selected if the population is partitioned into m groups of maximum size n and b/c > 1 + n/m.

Traulsen, A. & Nowak, M. A. (2006). Evolution of cooperation by multilevel selection. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 130(29):10952-10955.

SLAC: Network re-wire P2P model

- Agents = nodes in a P2P overlay network
- Each node links to some neighbors (view) in overlay
- Assume:
 - Interaction between neighbors to achive some application task
 - Behavior: Application behavior (i.e. share files or leech files, cooperate or defect)
 - Utility: Evaluated at application level (i.e. number of files downloaded, performace metric)

SLAC algorithm

Each node *p* periodically executes the following:




















SLAC: "Mutate"



SLAC playing the PD

- We tested SLAC with Prisoner's Dilemma (PD)
 - Captures the conflict between "individual rationality" and "common good"
 - Defection (D) leads to higher *individual* utility
 - Cooperation (C) leads to higher global utility
 - *DC* > *CC* > *DD* > *CD*
- Prisoner's Dilemma in SLAC
 - Nodes play PD with neighbors chosen randomly in the interaction network
 - Only pure strategies (always *C* or always *D*)
 - Strategy mutation: flip current strategy
 - Utility: average payoff achieved

Cycle 180: Small Defect Clusters



Cycle 220: Cooperation Emerges



Cycle 230: Coop. Cluster Starts to Break Apart



Cycle 300: Defect Nodes Isolated, Small Cooperative Clusters Formed





Cooperation Trend



SLAC Summary

- SLAC produces very high levels of cooperation limits the spread of defection
- Nodes "move" throughout the network to find better neighborhoods
- Group-like selection between clusters
 - Clusters of cooperating nodes grow and persist
 - Defecting nodes tend to become isolated

SLAC and SLACER

- SLAC rewiring mechanism lead to high level of network partitioning
- SLACER: When isolating nodes not all the links are drop. Each link is dropped with given probability *W*
- Parameter *W* represents a tradeoff between network randomness and cooperation level
 - *W*=1: high cooperation, high partitioning
 - *W*=0.9: high cooperation, small world like topology
 - Low *W*: low cooperation, random like topology

SLAC and SLACER



SLAC

As W is increased (probability of dropping a link when moving) then the network becomes more random and cooperation reduces. Intermeidate points give small-world fully connected networks

SLACER

SLAC and SLACER

- We applied variants of SLAC and SLACER in P2P applications:
- File-sharing
- Content replication for webservers
- Job sharing requiring specialisation in the clusters in addition to cooperation

A note on method

- Importing social simulation models into self-* applications is not trivial
- How to do it?
- How we think we did it
 - Start with the abstract model
 - modify in stages towards application
 - Preserve desirable emergent properties at each stage
 - Produce a "chain" of models

Model chains

model specificity



Model chains

- From an engineering perspective "validation"
 = system works for some application
- However, in social simulation generally, validation = matching / explaining observed phenomena
- Again chains of models can be made from abstract (theory) models to more applied models

Method confusion

- In our community there is diversity of approaches and models
- Theory, abstract, participatory, crossvalidated etc.
- This creates confusion and what appear to be endless debates
- But this diversity is a strength!
- ESSA can remain a "broad church"



Worrying developments... personal view

Worrying developments – game theorists

- In the self-* community a number of unreconstructed game theorists are arriving
- Offering "nice" mathematical models which engineers like, strangely
- Somehow our approaches seem less visible, strangely
- I worry that if "we" don't get involved with them they might go down the same dead-end of rational action models
- We have alternatives for them!

Worrying developments -Econophysics

- A lot of physics people are turning to social systems modelling – great!
- But they are staying very much in their discipline using physics approaches
- Agents are generally modelled as "particles" and forced into existing statistical physics methods
- Curve fitting to data becomes validation
- Assumptions often incredibly naive yet formal analysis is excellent
- Little attempt to engage with other work
- Mono-methodological

If the mountain wont come to Mohammed...

- I believe that to deal with these trends we need to become more visible in this emerging self-* community
- One possible way = set up an ESSA SIG if people are interested talk to me!
- Promote relevant work at associated workshops in engineer friendly ways
- Next SASO will have workshops, takes place in Venice next year

Finally, thank you for listening

Questions?