

Tag Based Co-operation in Artificial Societies

by

David Hales

A Thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Computer Science

University of Essex

Wivenhoe Park

Colchester CO4 3SQ

Essex, U.K.

2001

Abstract

In human society behaviour is often shaped within and directed towards groups. What role can groups play in the evolution and maintenance of co-operation between simple boundedly rational agents? Such questions can now be addressed using artificial societies of agents on computers.

Three computational simulations of artificial societies have been constructed and experimentation performed. In the first society (the SwapShop) a cellular automata model demonstrates, for the first time, that simple cultural learning rules produce high levels of altruism. This is compared with more traditional genetic modes of evolution. In the second society (the StereoLab) a more complex cultural learning scenario, based on culturally learned stereotypes, is explored. Key parameters of the society were searched in order to locate those regions giving high co-operation between agents. Several regions were located. Finally a third society was constructed (TagWorld II) which abstracted the process found in one of the more interesting regions located in the StereoLab. In the TagWorld II society, pairs of agents play the one-shot Prisoner's Dilemma. Agents bias their game interactions towards those with identical tags (observable markers). This turns out to be sufficient to sustain high levels of co-operation. The underlying process involves the formation and dissolution of groups of agents sharing identical tags. It is concluded that the cultural group formation process demonstrated can play a major role in the emergence and maintenance of co-operation and altruism when agents are boundedly rational cultural learners. Such processes have potential applications in multi-agent systems engineering and may aid understanding of human societies.

For my mother, Irene Hales.

Contents

List of Figures	vii
List of Tables	ix
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Overview	1
1.1.1 Agents and MAS	1
1.1.2 DAI and MAS	3
1.1.3 Social Science and Artificial Societies	4
1.1.4 Artificial Life	5
1.1.5 Emergence - What Does it Mean?	6
1.2 Thesis Plan	8
2 Altruism and Co-operation	11
2.1 The Problem With Altruism	11
2.1.1 The Prisoner's Dilemma	13
2.2 Social Rationality	15
2.3 Evolutionary Optimisers	16
2.3.1 Rational Action is Complex	16
2.3.2 Cultural Evolution	17
2.3.3 Memes	18
2.4 Survival of the Nicest?	19
2.4.1 Kin Altruism	20
2.4.2 Group Selection	21
2.4.3 Reciprocal Co-operation	21
2.4.4 Summary	23
2.5 The Unjustified Optimising Assumption	23
2.6 Behaviours and Tags	25
2.6.1 Memetic Kin	26
2.6.2 Surface and Hidden Memes	26
2.6.3 Cultural Packaging	27
2.7 Summary	29

3	Artificial Society Methodology	30
3.1	The Need for Methodological Clarity	30
3.2	Artificial Societies	31
3.3	A Special Methodology for Artificial Societies?	32
3.4	Artificial Society Experimentation	33
3.5	Pick & Mix Methodologies	33
3.6	Conclusion	37
4	Experimental Overview and Progression	39
4.1	Overview	39
4.2	The SwapShop	40
4.3	The StereoLab	41
4.4	TagWorldII	43
4.5	Summary	43
5	Group Formation and Agent Altruism	45
5.1	The SwapShop Artificial Society	46
5.1.1	Cells And Memes	49
5.1.2	Events And Behaviours	49
5.1.3	The System Cycle	51
5.2	Four Experimental Scenarios in the SwapShop	51
5.2.1	Scenario A - "Cultural" Evolution	52
5.2.2	Scenario B - "Genetic" Evolution	52
5.2.3	Scenario C - "Cultural" Evolution With Sparse Rewards	52
5.2.4	Scenario D - "Genetic" Evolution With Sparse Rewards	53
5.3	Results	53
5.3.1	Results of Experiment A - Single Region with Total Sharing	56
5.3.2	Results of Experiment B - Multiple Regions with In-Group Sharing	56
5.3.3	Results of Experiment C - The Productive Minority Share All	59
5.3.4	Results of Experiment D - Altruism Hampered By Cultural Boundaries	59
5.4	Observations	62
5.4.1	Cultural Groups and In-Group Bias	62
5.4.2	Reciprocal or Real Altruism?	62
5.4.3	Tags and Group Conflict	63
5.4.4	"Genetic" v. Cultural Evolution	63
5.5	Conclusions	63
6	Social Cues and Trust	66
6.1	Social Construction of Social Categories	66
6.1.1	Never Trust a Hippy	67
6.1.2	Social Cues and Stereotypes	68
6.1.3	Social Cues and Social Distance	69
6.2	Salient Features	70
6.3	Modelling Trust as a Game	71
6.4	Modelling Social Cues as Tags	72
6.5	The StereoLab Artificial Society	72
6.5.1	Agents	73
6.5.2	Cultural and Game Interaction	78

6.5.3	The Time Unit	86
6.5.4	Summary of the Parameters	86
6.5.5	What Kind of Society Has Been Proposed?	87
6.6	Summary	88
7	Parameter Space Exploration	90
7.1	The Necessity of Exploration	90
7.2	Sampling the Space	92
7.2.1	Systematic Sweep	92
7.2.2	Random Sampling	93
7.2.3	Heuristic Search	94
7.3	Characterising Regions in the Space	95
7.3.1	The C4.5 Classification Algorithm	96
7.3.2	Cluster Analysis	97
7.4	The Weaver System	99
7.5	The SampTool Software	102
7.6	Summary	103
8	Co-operation in the StereoLab	106
8.1	Inducing Regions Using C4.5	108
8.1.1	Coping With Stochasticity	110
8.1.2	Regions of High and Low Co-operation	119
8.1.3	Low Co-operation When Mutation is Zero	120
8.1.4	Low Co-operation When Confidence Reduction is Zero	125
8.1.5	High Co-operation When Game Interaction Limited to a Single Territory	130
8.1.6	High Co-operation When Cultural Interaction is High, Relative to Game Interaction	139
8.1.7	Summary of Results of C45 Induced Regions	145
8.2	Locating Co-operative Regions Using Hill-Climbing and Cluster Analysis	146
8.2.1	Clustering the Points	147
8.2.2	Summary of Results from Hill-Climbing and Clustering	150
8.2.3	Clustering the Points in an Extended Parameter Space	151
8.2.4	Summary of Results from Hill-Climbing and Clustering in the Extended Space	154
8.2.5	Cultural Group Selection?	155
8.3	Conclusion	157
9	Groups in Tag Space	158
9.1	The TagWorld II Artificial Society	159
9.2	Results - High Co-operation	161
9.3	Group Formation and Dissolution	163
9.4	Co-operation From Complete Defection	168
9.5	Discussion	170
9.5.1	Interpretations	172
9.5.2	Future Directions	173

10 Conclusions and Future Work	174
10.1 Conclusions / Deliverables	174
10.2 Discussion	175
10.2.1 General Contribution	176
10.2.2 Cultural Group Selection	177
10.2.3 Group Selection in DAI	179
10.2.4 Critical Review	180
10.3 Future Work	182
10.3.1 Short Term Future Work	182
10.3.2 Long Term Future Work	183
Bibliography	185
A Glossary	205
A Implementation and Technical Issues	215
A.1 Random Numbers	215
A.2 The SwapShop	215
A.3 The StereoLab	216
A.4 TagWorldII	216
A.5 SampTool	217
A.5.1 Implementation	218
A.5.2 SampTool Mini-Guide	218

List of Figures

3.1	Existance Proof.	34
3.2	Behaviour Modelling or Reverse Engineering.	34
3.3	Theory Testing.	35
3.4	Theory Building.	36
3.5	Explanation Finding.	37
5.1	Bar graph of Zng and Mng measures over the four experiments.	55
5.2	Bar graph of Tng and CZng measures over the four experiments.	55
5.3	Experiment A - example of a typical run.	57
5.4	Experiment B - example of a typical run.	58
5.5	Experiment C - example of a typical run.	60
5.6	Experiment D - example of a typical run.	61
5.7	Experiment A. The initial random starting values for each cell of the grid.	64
5.8	Experiment A. The values for each cell of the grid by cycle 1500.	64
6.1	An agent in the StereoLab.	74
6.2	The StereoLab interaction environment.	79
6.3	Cultural interaction in the StereoLab.	81
6.4	Some of the possible interactions that two agents can be involved in over time.	82
6.5	The steps involved in the propagation of a meme from one agent to another.	83
6.6	Game interaction in the StereoLab.	84
7.1	Systematic (or sweep scan) sampling of the parameter space.	93
7.2	Points sampled randomly from the parameter space can be processed by the C4.5 algorithm.	97
7.3	Cluster analysis applied to a sample of points found via hill-climbing.	98
7.4	Theory development in the Weaver system.	100
7.5	A schematic of the SampTool system.	103
7.6	An example simulation parameter specification for the StereoLab.	104
8.1	Frequency of co-operation over the whole parameter space (sample 1).	109
8.2	Frequency of co-operation over the whole parameter space (sample 2).	110
8.3	The C4.5 induced decision tree generated from the initial sample.	112
8.4	The C4.5 induced tree produced from a second independent sample.	113
8.5	The effect of the weight parameter on tree accuracy for the initial sample.	114
8.6	The effect the weight parameter on tree size for the initial sample.	115

8.7	The effect of the weight parameter on tree accuracy for the second sample.	116
8.8	The effect of the weight parameter on tree size for the second sample. . . .	117
8.9	The regions of high and low cooperation induced from both samples.	118
8.10	The best three regions found for the highest and lowest co-operative classes.	119
8.11	Frequency of co-operation in the region where $MT = 0$	121
8.12	A set of runs from a point in region $MT=0$ producing minimum co-operation.	123
8.13	A set of runs from a point in region $MT=0$ producing modal co-operation.	124
8.14	A set of runs from a point in region $MT=0$ producing maximum co-operation.	126
8.15	Frequency of co-operation within the region where $CR=0$	128
8.16	A set of runs from a point in region $CR=0$ producing minimum co-operation.	129
8.17	A set of runs from a point in region $CR=0$ producing modal co-operation.	131
8.18	A set of runs from a point in region $CR=0$ producing maximum co-operation.	132
8.19	Frequency of co-operation within the region 1c.	134
8.20	A set of runs from a point in region 1c producing minimum co-operation. . .	136
8.21	A set of runs from a point in region 1c producing modal co-operation. . . .	137
8.22	A set of runs from a point in region 1c producing minimum co-operation. . .	138
8.23	Frequency of co-operation within region 1e.	140
8.24	A set of runs from a point in region 1e producing minimum co-operation. . .	142
8.25	A set of runs from a point in region 1e producing modal co-operation. . . .	143
8.26	A set of runs from a point in region 1e producing maximum co-operation. . .	144
8.27	Final sum of squared distances produced from k-means analysis.	148
8.28	Final sum of squared distances produced from k-means analysis.	153
9.1	The evolutionary algorithm applied to agents within TagWorldII.	159
9.2	Co-operation for various values of L and T (initial run).	162
9.3	Co-operation for various values of L and T (initial run)	163
9.4	Co-operation for various values of L and T (enforcing $T>R>P>S$).	164
9.5	Co-operation for various values of L and T (enforcing $T>R>P>S$).	164
9.6	For a tag length $L = 4$, label space can be visualised as a 4D hypercube. . .	165
9.7	Visualisation of 500 cycles from a single simulation run.	166
9.8	An expanded view of an individual simulation run.	167
9.9	Number of generations before mutual co-operation emerges.	170
A.1	Output produce by the SampTool software in response to the "-help" option.	219
A.2	Example output produced by SampTool with the "-view" option.	225
A.3	An example of a hosts.txt file	230

List of Tables

2.1	The payoff grid for the one-shot Prisoner's Dilemma.	14
5.1	Energy levels and optimality measures for four experiments in the SwapShop. See section 5.3 for an explanation of the measures.	54
5.2	Meme value distributions for four experiments in the SwapShop. See section 5.3 for an explanation of the values given.	54
6.1	The parameters which characterise the StereoLab artificial society.	89
8.1	The percentile ranges over the level of co-operation measure for sample 1.	111
8.2	Cluster centres and sizes for four clusters identified by the k-means clustering method.	149
8.3	Cluster centres and sizes for five clusters identified by the k-means clustering method in the extended parameter space.	154

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Jim Doran, my supervisor, for his patience, advice and encouragement, and, above all, for his tenacity in trying to help me tease out strands of clear thought from the confusing tangles in which I often found myself. I would also like to thank all those in the wider SimSoc community who have always encouraged, supported, and suggested ways I could expand and improve on, my work. This encouragement was invaluable. On a personal note, I would like to thank Jan Neil and Nigel Taylor for encouragement and suggestions throughout the period of this work and also for proof reading of earlier drafts of this thesis. I would also like to thank Andrew Tams for his proof reading, suggestions and encouragement despite the pressure of his own thesis deadline.